

MOBILE URBAN COUNTY CONSORTIUM



CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT

JUNE 1, 2015 – MAY 31, 2016

Mobile County Commission

Post Office Box 1443

Mobile, Alabama 36633

Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	2
CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes	3
CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)	8
CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)	13
CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)	15
CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)	17
CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)	18
CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230.....	22
CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)	24
CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d).....	25
CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)	27
CR-65 - Persons Assisted.....	29
CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes.....	50
CR-75 – Expenditures.....	51
CDBG Project Map	53
PR-26 – CDBG Financial Summary Report	54
Certification Concerning Written Comments	57

Executive Summary

This report, the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER), covers the first year of the Mobile Urban County Consolidated Plan, a five-year planning document that is necessary for applying and being funded under the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) formula grant programs. The Mobile Urban County Program represents a Consortium that consists of all the unincorporated portions of Mobile County, and the cities of Bayou La Batre, Chickasaw, Creola, Citronelle, Mount Vernon, Prichard, Saraland, Semmes, and Satsuma. The Mobile County Commission is the designated lead agency for the Urban County Program and as such, holds the responsibility for the design and development of the various programs, activities and projects identified in the five year consolidated plan.

It should be noted that the Consolidated Plan serves as the basis for applying for federal financial assistance from three of the four HUD formula grant programs. The three programs, for which Mobile County was an entitlement grantee in 2015, were the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program, the HOME program, and the Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) program. Mobile County does not qualify as an entitlement recipient for funding under the fourth formula grant program, Housing Opportunity for Persons with Aids (HOPWA).

The activities for which entitlement grant funds were utilized, the progress toward implementing those activities, and the beneficial outcomes of the expenditures are covered in this CAPER. In addition to reporting on the grant programs, the CAPER reports on other activities, projects and actions undertaken by the Consortium, other public agencies, non-profit organizations, and private businesses which have had an impact on achieving the overall goals and objectives set forth in the Consolidated Plan.

Each year of the five-year Consolidated Plan, an annual Action Plan describes a specific plan for the use of funds that are expected to be available during that program year. The Action Plan determines goals for individuals and households to be served, describes the implementation of activities and other actions to be undertaken. The County's program year begins on June 1 and ends on May 31 of each year.

The CAPER contains a summary of resources and programmatic accomplishments, the status of actions taken during the year to implement the County's overall strategy, and an evaluation of progress made during the past year in addressing identified priority needs and objectives.

HUD has introduced an Econ-Planning Suite, a new electronic template for producing the Consolidated Plan, the Action Plan and the CAPER, directly within HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS). As mandated by HUD, the PY2015 CAPER has been developed using HUD's template.

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

In 2015, Mobile County made progress in addressing goals and objectives set forth in the Consolidated Plan. All planned activities reflect priorities established within the approved 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan. In 2015, the County received \$1,505,102 in CDBG funds, \$454,401 in HOME funds, and \$140,590 in ESG funds.

The 2015 Action Plan provided CDBG funds for public services as well as capital expenditures for public improvement projects that include public facilities and infrastructure projects throughout the area covered by the Consortium. HOME funds are provided for rental projects and homeowner projects, including down payment assistance for first-time homebuyers. ESG funds are provided for Emergency Shelter, Prevention, Rapid Rehousing, and HMIS.

In PY2015, the County completed the following CDBG-funded projects: construction of a recreation center, two public school improvement projects, a community center improvement project, construction of a senior center, purchased fire turn out gear and fire emergency equipment for a fire department, and demolished 2 spot blight houses in District 2 (See attached CDBG Project Map). In PY2015, CDBG-funded projects that are in progress include: rehabilitation of a facility for handicapped services, two road paving projects, senior center improvements, a drainage project, rehabilitation of a fire station, and purchase of a mini pumper fire truck. Projects that are in progress will be reported in future CAPERs.

In 2015, CDBG-funded public service projects included the following: senior services, feeding program for seniors, homebuyer/financial counseling, rape victim services, prescription drug assistance, domestic violence victim advocacy, homeless prevention legal assistance, home modifications for ADA compliance, youth development summer program, child abuse victim assistance, and matching funds for a van for a senior center.

The Actual Program Year column in the table includes accomplishments from 2015 projects as well as prior years projects that reported accomplishments during the 2015 program year. The Actual Strategic Plan column in the table includes only accomplishments from 2015 projects that are associated with the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan. The Source/Amount column in the table reflects anticipated resources from the five year plan.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount from 5 Year Plan	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
CDBG and HOME Administration	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development Planning	CDBG: \$1,451,599.00 HOME: \$227,200.00	Other	Other	1	1	100.00%	1	1	100.00%
Economic Development	Economic Development	CDBG: \$0.00	Other	Other	1	0	0.00%			
Homeless Programs and Services	Homeless	ESG: \$702,950.00	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	60	4	6.67%	12	21	175.00%
Homeless Programs and Services	Homeless		Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	100	0	0.00%	20	41	205.00%

Goal	Category	Source / Amount from 5 Year Plan	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Homeless Programs and Services	Homeless		Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	18	18	100.00%	4	51	1,275.00%
Homeless Programs and Services	Homeless		Other	Other	0	0		1	1	100.00%
Homeowner Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$0.00	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	4	0	0.00%	1	1	100.00%
		HOME: \$613,000.00	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	25	0	0.00%	2	1	50.00%
Public Facilities and Infrastructure	Homeless Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$4,863,030.00	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	119540	44950	37.60%	23875	47166	197.55%
Public Services	Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$1,125,000.00	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5750	877	15.25%	1150	1242	108.00%

Goal	Category	Source / Amount from 5 Year Plan	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Rental Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$0.00 HOME: \$2,422,753.00	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	10	0	0.00%	4	10	250.00%
			Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	7	0	0.00%			
Spot Blight Clearance	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$320,000.00	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	40	2	5.00%	4	2	50.00%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

All funded activities were consistent with the priorities established in the five-year 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan and the specific objectives included in the 2015 Action Plan.

CDBG priorities addressed in the 2015 Action Plan include: public facilities and community facilities, infrastructure, parks and recreation facilities, fire equipment, demolition and site clearance, infrastructure (culverts and drainage) and public services.

HOME priorities addressed in the 2015 Action Plan include: housing assistance - homeownership and housing development and reconstruction for both rental and homeownership.

ESG priorities addressed in the 2015 Action Plan include: rapid rehousing, prevention, emergency shelter, and HMIS. The County consulted with the CoC to identify homeless needs for ESG to address in the community.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	1,311	0	30
Black or African American	692	4	107
Asian	39	0	0
American Indian or American Native	172	11	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	2	0	0
Total	2,216	15	137
Hispanic	54	0	0
Not Hispanic	2,216	15	137

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

Rental agency opted not to report racial and ethnic data. They were included in Other multi-racial in the table below under HOME.

Racial and Ethnic status of families assisted not included in table above

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
American Indian/Alaskan Native & White	3	0	0
Asian White	0	0	0
Black/African American & White	14	0	0
American Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African American	2	3	0
Other multi-racial	82	6	0
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	1
Total	101	9	1

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	\$ 3,727,588	\$ 1,302,218
HOME	public - federal	\$ 2,386,605	\$ 1,588,636
ESG	public - federal	\$ 245,845	\$ 110,473

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Resources made available and expended amounts were compiled from action plans and projects during 2010-2015 for CDBG and HOME, and 2013-2015 for ESG.

The original totals generated in the table above only included amounts and projects that were entered into IDIS for the 2015 Action Plan. This was the first year that Mobile County entered a Consolidated Plan and Action Plan into IDIS. Prior year unexpended resources and projects were not included in the defaulted values. The defaulted values were corrected to reflect actual resources made available and expenditure data generated by drawdowns completed during the program year.

IDIS's defaulted amounts for resources made available and amounts expended during the program year 2015 were as follows: CDBG \$1,739,221.00 and \$479,859.57, HOME \$797,093.00 and \$0.00, ESG \$140,590.00 and \$0.00 respectively. Actual resources made available and amounts expended during the 2015 program year: CDBG: \$ 3,727,588.64 resources made available, \$ 1,302,218.28 expended. HOME: \$ 2,386,605.57 resources made available, \$ 1,588,636.19 expended. ESG: \$ 245,845.17 resources made available, \$ 110,473.25 expended.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
CDBG Area Benefit	45	41	Areas eligible for CDBG Program area benefit.
Countywide	55	59	Non-CDBG area benefit to account for individual benefit programs.

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The planned percentage of allocation was based on federal funding requirements for each formula-allocated grant. Areas of low to moderate-income concentration and certain areas of high minority concentration were targeted. Deteriorating housing conditions were also considered. The distribution of funds was projected to be primarily countywide due to use of funds for non-profit services and individual benefit-oriented programmatic uses of the funds.

The planned percentage of allocation was estimated based on experience, actual percentage of allocation was based upon expenditures during PY2015.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The Consortium has utilized CDBG to leverage additional public and private funds. The two primary sources of public funds used to leverage CDBG funds are general fund money and the Pay-as-You-Go money. Also, some support staff salaries of the Consortium necessary to implement CDBG projects are funded by the general fund. In addition, Consortium members have contributed funds to expand and supplement CDBG funded projects. Other forms of leveraging within the CDBG program is donated land owned by Consortium members. The Pay-as-You-Go road paving program, funded by a local property tax, has been used in concert with CDBG funds on several projects to make scarce public improvement dollars go further and increase the impact on low and moderate-income areas. The County's use of HOME funds has been successful in leveraging mortgage funds from private lenders (banks, mortgage companies, and other lending institutions) for individual mortgages by providing the down payment and paying closing costs. The County provided HOME funds to two developers of affordable rental housing who were awarded LIHTC from the State of Alabama. In PY2015, Pelican Landing Apartments received a HOME loan of \$750,000 that leveraged \$6.0 million and Arbours at Pierce Creek received a HOME loan of \$700,070 that leveraged \$9.0 million.

One of the requirements for participating in the HOME program is that the local government must provide a match equal to 12.5 percent for 2014 Federal fiscal year of the amount allocated from HOME funds. For 2015, match requirement increased to 25 percent. No match funds are required for projects funded by program income. The HOME funds match requirement is satisfied in the form of cash and in-kind contributions. When the total revenue match is larger than the required match expense, the difference is accumulated for later match by the HOME program. In-kind match is contributed from the accumulated match, when HOME funds are drawn.

For the Emergency Solutions Grants program, match is in-kind and cash contributions. Sources include: federal, local, and private funding sources.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	170,657
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	122,188
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	292,845
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	280,755
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	12,090

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
AI # 553	11/25/2014	0	0	223	0	0	0	223
AI # 554	12/04/2014	0	0	1,500	0	0	0	1,500
AI # 561	03/12/2015	0	0	2,000	0	0	0	2,000
AI # 560	03/05/2015	0	0	1,000	0	0	0	1,000
AI # 428	08/31/2015	0	0	0	0	14,431	0	14,431
AI # 430	08/31/2015	0	0	0	0	10,821	0	10,821
AI # 362	08/31/2015	0	0	0	0	29,607	0	29,607
AI # 508	03/30/2015	27,595	0	0	0	35,011	0	62,606

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
2,850	114,505	117,356	0	0

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	4	1	0	1	1	1
Dollar Amount	597,202	528,789	0	1,274	26,789	40,350
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	5	3	2			
Dollar Amount	597,202	41,624	555,578			

Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	1	1	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	435,214	435,214	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	7	12
Total	7	12

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through rental assistance	0	0
Number of households supported through the production of new units	4	10
Number of households supported through the rehab of existing units	0	0
Number of households supported through the acquisition of existing units	3	2
Total	7	12

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The one-year goal for production of new units was 4 rental units. Mobile County exceeded this goal with a total of 10 households supported through the production of new rental units using prior year HOME funds in PY2015. These units consisted of 4 rental homes and 6 senior rental apartments that were from prior year action plans.

The one-year goal for direct financial assistance to homebuyers was met with 2 households assisted using HOME Programs. Of the 2 households supported through the acquisition of existing units, 1 was through the down payment assistance program and 1 was a constructed affordable home. The outcomes were from prior year action plans.

As a note, the 2015 action plan's one-year goals did not properly carry forward into the CAPER, consequently the numbers of households supported in the one-year goals were manually corrected and are properly reflected in the tables above.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The number of households supported through rental assistance, the production of new units, rehab of existing units, and acquisition of existing units are derived from projects using funds made available from the action plan's one-year goals. Sometimes these type projects can take multiple years; therefore the outcomes in the CAPER may be from projects in prior year action plans. Future outcomes will be reflective of projects that are completed during the program year.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	2
Low-income	0	14
Moderate-income	0	8
Total	0	24

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

Narrative Information

Direct financial assistance to homebuyers was given to 4 persons that were moderate-income. Of the 20 persons served through rental activities; 2 were extremely low-income, 14 were low-income, and 4 were moderate-income.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The Continuum of Care conducted annual surveys of homeless individuals, including unsheltered persons. The surveys served to help focus local homeless agency activities as well as provide documentation in response to HUD program requirements.

Based upon consultation with the Continuum of Care, the County allocated \$140,590 in 2015 ESG funds to address the needs identified in the jurisdiction as follows: Emergency Shelter – Essential Services \$10,000; Homelessness Prevention – Tenant Based Rental Assistance \$ 16,470; Homelessness Prevention - Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services \$ 21,665; Rapid Re-Housing – Tenant Based Rental Assistance \$ 20,264; Rapid Re-Housing – Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services \$ 31,647; Homeless Information Management System (HMIS) \$ 30,000; and Administration \$ 10,544. An estimated 36 homeless persons or persons at risk of homelessness were proposed to be assisted with 2015 ESG funds. During PY2015, a total of 24 persons have been assisted using \$5,218 of the 2015 funds.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Homeless providers have been focusing efforts on increasing the bed count for both emergency shelter and transitional housing programs. These efforts are also reflected in the budgeted 2015 ESG funds. ESG funds have been budgeted to provide shelter or housing for homeless persons and families as well as those at risk of becoming homeless.

During PY2015, ESG funds served 41 homeless persons through Emergency Shelter funding. These persons were served with 2013 ESG funds.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Homeless prevention is a major focus of the participants in the Continuum of Care. Agencies include homeless prevention as a support program in conjunction with the provision of shelter and other support services. The County funded homeless prevention and rapid re-housing activities in the 2015 Annual Action Plan. Additionally, homeless individuals being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care are being directed to the local Coordinated Assessment System for assistance.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to

permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Proposed funding for the ESG program includes activities targeting improvements in the areas of rapid rehousing and homeless prevention.

During PY2015, 12 households were projected to be served under Rapid Re-Housing. A total of 21 were served using 2013, 2014, and 2015 funds. A total of 4 were from the 2015 funds. Also, prevention projected 4 households to be served. A total of 51 were served using 2014 and 2015 funds; 18 were from 2015.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

No specific actions were proposed in 2015 to address the identified needs of public housing or to fund public housing improvements and/or resident initiatives.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

All public housing residents had an equal opportunity to benefit from housing assistance as well as public services.

In a prior program year, the County committed HOME funds to Chickasaw Development Corporation (CDC), a subsidiary of the Chickasaw Housing Authority (CHA), to construct four (4) houses. The executive director of CHA met with residents who were interested in becoming homeowners, verified their income, creditworthiness and assisted them with their attempts to secure primary financing. Residents were also given contact information for the homebuyer housing counseling, a public service project funded by CDBG, to learn more about Mobile County's HOME program requirements. The constructed affordable home was purchased by a public housing resident.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

The Consortium has no troubled PHAs.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

While there are not public policy barriers to the production of affordable housing and residential investment in Mobile County, the Action Plan has several elements that would mitigate barriers to Affordable Housing. The County does not have any zoning. Down payment assistance, payment of closing costs, and mortgage assistance remove an economic barrier to affordable housing. Over the five year period, we will continue to provide funds for the construction of rental housing. The construction of new or improvements to existing public infrastructure facilitates the development of residential building sites without adding significantly to the development costs passed on to the homebuyers. New housing construction contributes directly to the supply of affordable housing. The County provided homebuyer counseling funded through CDBG to low- and moderate-income persons to prepare them for understanding the processes and responsibilities of homeownership. The County used HOME funds to provide assistance to qualified low- and moderate-income homebuyers for down payments and eligible closing costs. The County also used HOME funds to provide mortgage assistance to homebuyers who purchased homes constructed with County HOME funds.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Other actions taken by Mobile County to address the Community Development needs identified in the Consolidated Plan include participation in other categorical and formula grants from federal and state funding sources other than HUD that offer opportunities to channel resources toward specific problem areas that impede the quality of life for its residents. These actions have a positive impact on improving the livability of the county for all its residents. These actions, however, positively impact particularly those issues (i.e., crime, drug abuse, child abuse, violence, etc.) that have a disproportionate negative impact on low/moderate-income residents.

The following is a list of some of the other actions taken:

Juvenile Justice Programs award by Alabama Department of Youth Services These programs are awarded by the Alabama Department of Youth Services to provide services for boys and girls referred by juvenile courts as an alternative to local Department of Youth Services, including a comprehensive aftercare model which includes many necessary components identified to successfully reintegrate youth into the community exiting the alternative to incarceration.

Crime Victim Assistance These funds are for the Child Advocacy Center to provide direct services, including counseling, forensic medical exams, legal services, free transportation and court preparation, for child victims of sexual or severe physical abuse and their non-offending family members.

Violence Against Women Formula Grants These funds are used to help the Mobile County District Attorney's Office lead a coordinated community response to domestic violence. The funds will also allow the District Attorney to facilitate and conduct domestic violence training.

Justice Assistance Grant The purpose of the JAG Program is to provide units of local government funds

to underwrite projects to reduce crime and improve public safety.

Highway Traffic Safety Provides funds for a community-based program that coordinates a multi-faceted, multi-agency, public/private response to the complex highway traffic safety problems in Mobile and Baldwin Counties; this program is responsible for the enforcement of Alabama's traffic laws and education/awareness campaigns relating to traffic safety.

Corporation for National and Community Service The grant provide assistance in funding the Foster Grandparent, Senior Companion and the Retired and Senior Volunteer Program. These programs provide reimbursement expenses for volunteers over the age of 55 that perform duties as senior companions, foster grandparents to children and retired volunteers throughout the community.

Coastal Impact Assistance Program (CIAP) The Program provides funds to the County as a Political Subdivision of an oil and gas producing State.

Requests for Letters of Consistency are provided for projects seeking federal funding as long as they are consistent with the County's five-year Consolidated Plan. There has been no hindrance of the Consolidated Plan through action or willful inaction.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

In order to address the hazards of lead based paint, the County required that a lead evaluation be done on any dwelling unit that received HUD HOME funding. A visual assessment of the unit was conducted to identify any deteriorated paint, dust, debris and residue so that these conditions could be corrected prior to closing. The visual assessment was done by a person trained in visual assessment. The County contracted with a state licensed Home Inspection and HUD/FHA/VA/Compliance Fee Inspection Company to perform such inspections. The property owner is responsible for correcting any conditions identified in the visual assessment. All deteriorated paint must be stabilized by properly trained or supervised workers using lead safe work practices. Information on the hazards of lead-based paint was covered by Consumer Credit Counseling's Homebuyer Training Program and brochures were made available to all potential DPA homebuyers. Homebuyers receive the Lead Hazard Information Pamphlet, Lead Disclosure Notice, and the Notice of Lead Reduction.

Housing First, Inc. as the subrecipient organization for Mobile County Emergency Solutions Grants administration has established procedures for determining the status of lead-based paint risks for all housing units being considered for HUD ESG funding. All housing units being considered for ESG payments are inspected or are otherwise determined lead-free by Housing First, Inc. Housing built before 1978 requires a site inspection and a record of compliance by the rental agency or landlord. Housing for households with children under six or with pregnant females requires full and exact documentation that lead-based paint is absent.

All expenditures of HUD funds (CDBG, HOME, and ESG) fully comply with all applicable lead-based paint regulations.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The County strives to foster and maintain affordable housing, as has been described elsewhere in this document, and has been mindful of opportunities to positively impact the lives of low-income persons

and reduce the number of poverty level households in the community. During PY2015, the County committed CDBG funds to public service organizations to provide prescription drug assistance and to provide legal services for a homelessness prevention project.

Specific elements taken during 2015 effectively reduce the number of poverty level families through activities undertaken with CDBG, ESG, and HOME funds. The County worked with nonprofit organizations to identify qualified low-income households to participate in the down payment assistance program for homebuyers. Becoming a homeowner enables some low-income households who have carried an excessive housing cost burden to realize an increase in disposable income thus raising those households up from the poverty level. Construction of affordable rental housing also enables those households with excessive housing cost burden to realize an increase in disposable income thus also raising them up from the poverty level. The infrastructure improvements create entry-level construction jobs that provide an economic stimulus and benefits for the low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. The County focused on the elimination of homelessness and the impact of supportive services to low-income households to reduce the number of persons living in poverty.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The County continued to seek roundtable discussion meetings with other governmental groups or agencies, financial institutions, public housing agencies and private and nonprofit agencies with similar interests and missions. These meetings enable organizations that share common goals a venue to exchange information.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The County continued to participate in meetings with public and private housing and social service agencies in an effort to enhance coordination between these agencies in the community.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

The County continues its efforts in addressing one of the leading impediments housing affordability as identified in An Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing through the County-sponsored down payment and mortgage assistance programs. The County continues efforts to increase the availability of affordable homes through HOME funded construction projects. The County works with local banks, developers and non-profit organization to expand the stock of affordable housing. The County is assisting affordable housing developers who have received County HOME funds by providing a link to below market rate units via the County's website.

Through the use of CDBG funds, the County contracted with Family Counseling Center of Mobile/Consumer Credit Counseling Service of Mobile to provide housing and financial counseling services to equip clients with the tools and knowledge they need to improve their economic standing or assist in maintaining current housing. By improving their money management skills, they are better able to make informed decisions, which can lead to family stability and becoming self-sufficient. By improving credit scores, clients can reduce the amount of monthly expenses for loan payments and insurance, have access to mainstream financial products and services and lessen their reliance upon alternative financial services such as payday/title loans and check cashing services, and are eligible to

apply for better paying jobs that require acceptable credit scores. This can result in adequate income for housing choice, either for rental or homeownership, which includes improved mobility. The ultimate goal is to address disparities in access to opportunity and housing needs.

The County Commission provided sponsorship funds for the local fair housing agency's Regional Justice Leadership Summit. The County Commission adopted The American with Disabilities Act Transition Plan for Mobile County and has plans to mitigate with CDBG funds as well as other resources.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

The Mobile County Grants Department uses two basic methods for monitoring grant funded projects for compliance with program rules and performance. The two methods are (1) off-site or “desk” monitoring, and (2) on-site monitoring. A “Risk Analysis” is conducted to determine how the monitoring will be done throughout the year. The results obtained from the risk analysis objectively determine which method is to be implemented. The Grants Department performs on-site monitoring and/or technical assistance when determined necessary. The County conducts an annual review of each down payment and mortgage assistance participating household to secure evidence of the purchasing household’s continued occupancy. The review occurs at twelve-month intervals beginning 12 months from the original date of occupancy. The County conducts site and annual financial monitoring of rental properties. Unit inspections are performed and tenant files are reviewed for compliance with HOME program.

The Grant Department’s objectives in monitoring grant funded projects are to document compliance with program rules; track program/project performance; ensure timely expenditure of grant funds and timely closeout of projects; and identify technical assistance needs. The Engineering Department and Grants Department are responsible for the majority of oversight/monitoring during construction of public facilities and public works/infrastructure projects. A periodic review is made of each grant to ensure the timeliness of expenditure and also that the goals and objectives are in compliance with the Consolidated Plan and Action Plan. All subrecipients are regularly monitored through draw requests for regulatory and statutory compliance. The County continually monitors program results to ensure program design leads to maximizing benefits. The County monitors all funds obligated and spent, whether spent directly, through a contract or subrecipient agreement to ensure compliance with the federal regulations. The County provides technical assistance to new projects through orientation meetings, on-site visits, and by telephone.

Language in County agreements requires subrecipients to reach out to minority and women owned businesses. Most procurement for services or construction contracts includes language encouraging minority and women business enterprises to apply. When appropriate, advertisements are published or broadcast through minority targeted media.

Mobile County Commission as Consortium’s lead oversees the development of the Consolidated Plan and the Annual Action Plans and the implementation of projects funded through Action Plans. The Commission, through the Grants Department, has responsibility for ensuring compliance with program and comprehensive planning requirements. The Consortium follows a project selection procedure that requires proposals from member jurisdictions and other public and private agencies to be submitted. Following an eligibility determination by the Grants Department, a Project Review Committee examines proposals for feasibility, cost effectiveness, and benefit. The Committee ultimately develops and provides a non-binding budget for each formula grant program to the County Commission. The County Commission has the ultimate authority to approve projects and the budget.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

A 15-day comment period began on August 1, 2016 and ended on August 15, 2016. On July 29, 2016, the County published an advertisement in the Press Register to notify the public of the availability of the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) and to invite public comment. Copies of the CAPER were available at the following public places: the Mobile County Commission Offices, Government Plaza, Chickasaw City Hall, Citronelle City Hall, Creola City Hall, Mount Vernon City Hall, Saraland City Hall, Prichard City Hall, Semmes City Hall, and Satsuma City Hall. The CAPER was also available at the following public libraries: Mobile Public Library Main Branch, Chickasaw, Mount Vernon, Semmes, and Saraland. The draft CAPER was available on the County's website at www.mobilecountyal.gov. Comments or suggestions concerning this CAPER were to be made in writing and mailed to Mr. John Pafenbach, County Administrator, Mobile County Commission, P. O. Box 1443, Mobile, AL 36633. A public hearing was held on August 22, 2016 for the CAPER.

No comments were received.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

During PY2015, the County adopted 3 substantial amendments and 2 minor amendments. Changes undertaken were for budget adjustments and for the addition or deletion of projects. One of the formal amendments revised the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan/2015 Action Plan goals, because they were too project specific for a five year plan and the CDBG fund goals end date was revised to 2019 to reflect a five year plan.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?	No
--	----

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

On-site inspections were conducted for the following new construction projects completed in PY 2015:

- MoWa Choctaw Homes consist of 4 rental homes that were originally constructed as affordable homes and inspected May 29, 2008, upon construction completion. The houses remained vacant and the original developer returned the houses to Mobile County. In November of 2015 the project was converted to rental, the houses were re-inspected and a capital needs assessment was conducted. Each house appeared to be structurally sound, however, due to vacancy, the following repairs were done prior to occupancy: broken glass window, replace air conditioner thermostat, and damage from termites. All homes were treated for termites and bonds were purchased.
- Baytown Senior Village consists of 6 rental units that were completed in IDIS January 2016. The completion inspection for this project was conducted on July 15, 2015. No issues were indicated on the inspection reports.

On-site construction progress inspections were conducted with every construction draw request submitted for the following projects that were under construction in PY 2015. No issues were indicated on the inspection reports:

- Arbours at Pierce Creek will consist of approximately 5 rental units designated for seniors.
- Pelican Landing Apartments will consist of approximately 7 rental units designated for multifamily.

The following projects were not due for on-site inspections:

- The Gardens at Wellington consist of 4 rental units designated for seniors. The owner has certified that each building and all HOME-assisted units in the project are suitable for occupancy in the annual report narrative. A desk monitoring was conducted and consists of Rental Owners Annual Report Narrative, which request copies of the following: audited financials, insurance documents, property tax status, reserve balances and other various items including an annual financial report.
- Eagles Landing consist of 19 transitional rental units for veterans. It is due for the first on-site inspection and monitoring in July 2016.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

As a recipient of federal funds, Mobile County has adopted affirmative marketing procedures and requirements for rental and homebuyer projects containing five or more HOME-assisted housing units. Affirmative marketing steps consist of actions that provide information and otherwise attract eligible persons in the housing market area to the available housing without regard to race, color, national or ethnic origin, age, religion, disability, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity and expression, veteran status, characteristics of personal identity, or any other characteristic protected under applicable federal or state law. Mobile County requires developers, projects, and programs using HUD funds to affirmatively market its housing programs to ensure that eligible households have ample access and opportunity to participate in programs and services that use federal funds. In PY 2015, Homebuyer, Renter Assistance, and Homeowner Assistance programs and services were marketed to residents in low- to moderate-income neighborhoods and those with limited English proficiency. Marketing efforts in PY 2015 were conducted through various HOME projects and programs using federal funds. Advertisements were sent to minority development agencies to notify minority and women owned businesses of contracting opportunities available. In addition, the County's web site continues to play a vital role in targeting information to the public and ensuring program information reaches a broad audience.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics.

Sources of Program Income for Projects PY 2015:

1. Homebuyer Acquisition and New Construction (6 homebuyer 60-80% AMI)	\$ 79,012.39
2. Development of Affordable Rental Housing (2 tenants <30% AMI, 4 tenants 30-50% AMI)	\$ 30,502.89
Total Program Income Sources PY 2015	<u>\$ 109,515.28</u>

Uses of Program Income PY 2015:

1. Homebuyer Acquisition and New Construction	\$ 11,146.00
2. Development of Affordable Rental Housing (2 tenants 30-50% AMI, 4 tenants 50-60% AMI)	\$ 29,686.80
Total Program Income used PY 2015	<u>\$ 40,832.80</u>

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

Not applicable.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	MOBILE COUNTY
Organizational DUNS Number	040671521
EIN/TIN Number	636001644
Identify the Field Office	BIRMINGHAM
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Mobile City & County/Baldwin County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Ms
First Name	NAYYER
Middle Name	0
Last Name	MAHDI
Suffix	0
Title	Director Of Grants Management

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	205 Government St
Street Address 2	0
City	Mobile
State	AL
ZIP Code	36644
Phone Number	2515745086
Extension	0
Fax Number	2515745080
Email Address	nmahdi@mobile-county.net

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	
First Name	
Last Name	
Suffix	
Title	
Phone Number	
Extension	
Email Address	

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	06/01/2015
Program Year End Date	05/31/2016

CAPER

27

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: HOUSING FIRST, INC.

City: Mobile

State: AL

Zip Code: 36609, 1970

DUNS Number: 062123299

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 138590

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

Q5. HMIS DQ & Participation

5a. HMIS or Comparable

Database Data Quality Q5a

Data Element	Client Doesn't Know or Client Refused	Data not collected
First name	0	0
Last name	0	0
SSN	1	8
Date of Birth	0	0
Race	0	0
Ethnicity	0	0
Gender	0	0
Veteran Status	0	0
Disabling condition	0	0
Residence Prior to Entry	0	0
Relationship to Head of Household	0	1
Destination	0	1
Client location for project entry	0	0
Length of Time on Street, in ES or SH	0	14

Q6. Persons Served

6a. Report Validations

Table

Q6a

a. Total number of persons served	138
b. Number of adults (age 18 or over)	58
c. Number of children (under age 18)	80
d. Number of persons with unknown age	0
e. Total number of leavers	99

f. Total number of adult leavers	41
g. Total number of stayers	39
h. Total number of adult stayers	17
i. Number of veterans	9
j. Number of chronically homeless	2
k. Number of adult heads of	48
l. Number of child heads of household	0
m. Number of unaccompanied youth under age 25	8
n. Number of parenting youth under age 25 with children	2

6b. Number of Persons

Served

Q6b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Adults	58	20	38	0	0
b. Children	80	0	73	7	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	138	20	111	7	0

Q7a. Households Served

7a Number of Households Served Q7a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
Total Households	50	16	32	2	0

7b Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday Q7b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
January	7	2	4	1	0
April	12	3	7	2	0
July	6	2	3	1	0
October	4	0	3	1	0

Q9. Contacts and Engagements**9a. Number of Persons**

Contacted Q9a

	Total	a. First contact was at a place not meant for human	b. First contact was at a non-residential service setting	c. First contact was at a residential service setting	d. First contact place was missing
a1 Contacted once?	1	0	0	1	0
a2 Contacted 2-5 times?	0	0	0	0	0
a3 Contacted 6-9 times?	0	0	0	0	0
a4 Contacted 10 or more times?	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total persons contacted	1	0	0	1	0

9b. Number of Persons

Engaged Q9b

	Total	a. First contact was at a place not meant for human	b. First contact was at a non-residential service setting	c. First contact was at a residential service setting	d. First contact place was missing
b1 Engaged after 1 contact?	1	0	0	1	0
b2 Engaged after 2-5 contacts?	0	0	0	0	0
b3 Engaged after 6-9 contacts?	0	0	0	0	0
b4 Engaged after 10 or more contacts?	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total persons engaged	1	0	0	1	0
c. Rate of engagement (%)	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A

Q10. Gender

10a. Gender of Adults Q10a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. Unknown household type
a. Male	14	9	5	0
b. Female	44	11	33	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0
e. Other	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	58	20	38	0

10b. Gender of Children Q10b

	Total	a. With children and adults	b. With only children	c. Unknown household type
a. Male	37	34	3	0
b. Female	42	38	4	0

c. Transgender male to female	1	1	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0
e. Other	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	80	73	7	0

10c. Gender of Persons

Missing Age Information Q10c

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Male	0	0	0	0	0
b. Female	0	0	0	0	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other	0	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0

10d. Gender by Age

Ranges Q10d

	Total	a. Under age 18	b. Age 18-24	c. Age 25-61	d. Age 62 and over	e. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	f. Data not collected
a. Male	51	37	5	8	1	0	0
b. Female	86	42	4	40	0	0	0
c. Transgender male to female	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

g. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
h. Total	138	80	9	48	1	0	0

Q11. Age

Q11

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Under 5	22	0	22	0	0
b. 5 - 12	41	0	36	5	0
c. 13 - 17	17	0	15	2	0
d. 18 - 24	9	4	5	0	0
e. 25 - 34	20	0	20	0	0
f. 35 - 44	14	4	10	0	0
g. 45 - 54	9	6	3	0	0
h. 55 - 61	5	5	0	0	0
i. 62+	1	1	0	0	0
j. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
k. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
l. Total	138	20	111	7	0

Q12. Race & Ethnicity
12a. Race

Q12a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. White	30	9	19	2	0
b. Black or African-American	107	10	92	5	0
c. Asian	0	0	0	0	0
d. American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
e. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
f. Multiple races	1	1	0	0	0
g. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
h. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
i. Total	138	20	111	7	0

12b. Ethnicity

Q12b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Non-Hispanic/non-Latino	138	20	111	7	0
b. Hispanic/Latino	0	0	0	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	138	20	111	7	0

Q13. Physical and Mental Health Conditions

13a1. Physical and

Mental Health

Conditions at Entry Q13a1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	11	2	9	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	0	0	0	0	0
c. Drug abuse	2	2	0	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	2	2	0	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	5	0	4	1	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	0	0	0	0	0
g. Developmental disability	5	0	5	0	0
h. Physical disability	12	10	2	0	0

**13b1. Physical and
Mental Health**

Conditions of Leavers Q13b1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	7	1	6	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	0	0	0	0	0
c. Drug abuse	1	1	0	0	0

d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	1	1	0	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	3	0	3	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	0	0	0	0	0
g. Developmental disability	3	0	3	0	0
h. Physical disability	7	5	2	0	0

13c1. Physical and Mental Health

Conditions of Stayers Q13c1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	4	1	3	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	0	0	0	0	0
c. Drug abuse	1	1	0	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	1	1	0	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	0	0	0	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	0	0	0	0	0
g. Developmental disability	2	0	2	0	0
h. Physical disability	5	5	0	0	0

Q14. Domestic Violence

14a. Persons with Domestic Violence

History Q14a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	24	5	19	0	0
b. No	34	15	19	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	58	20	38	0	0

14b. Persons Fleeing

Domestic Violence Q14b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	3	0	3	0	0
b. No	7	3	4	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	14	2	12	0	0
e. Total	24	5	19	0	0

Q15. Residence Prior to

Project Entry Q15

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Homeless situations					
a1. Emergency shelter	16	7	9	0	0
a2. Transitional housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a3. Place not meant for human habitation	14	8	6	0	0
a4. Safe haven	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total	30	15	15	0	0
b. Institutional settings					
b1 Psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
b2 Substance abuse or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
b3 Hospital (non-psychiatric)	2	0	2	0	0
b4 Jail, prison or juvenile detention	0	0	0	0	0
b5 Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
b6 Long-term care facility or nursing	0	0	0	0	0

b7 Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	2	0	2	0	0
c. Other locations					
c01. PH for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
c02. Owned by client, no subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c03. Owned by client, with subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c04. Rental by client, no subsidy	7	5	2	0	0
c05. Rental by client, with VASH	0	0	0	0	0
c06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP	0	0	0	0	0
c07. Rental by client, with other	2	0	2	0	0
c08. Hotel or motel paid by client	3	0	3	0	0
c09. Staying or living with friend(s)	8	0	8	0	0
c10. Staying or living with family	6	0	6	0	0
c11. Other	0	0	0	0	0
c12. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
c13. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
cz. Total	26	5	21	0	0
d. Total	58	20	38	0	0

Q20. Non-Cash Benefits

20a. Type of Non-Cash

Benefit Sources Q20a

	At entry	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
a. Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program	40	0	29
b. WIC	2	0	2

c. TANF Child Care services	0	0	0
d. TANF transportation services	0	0	0
e. Other TANF-funded services	1	0	1
f. Other source	10	0	3

Q21. Health Insurance Q21

	At entry	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
a. MEDICAID health insurance	89	0	67
b. MEDICARE health insurance	4	0	3
c. State Children's Health Insurance	2	0	1
d. VA Medical Services	9	0	7
e. Employer-provided health insurance	1	0	1
f. Health insurance through COBRA	0	0	0
g. Private pay health insurance	3	0	3
h. State Health Insurance for Adults	2	0	0
i. No health insurance	0	0	0
j. Client doesn't know/Client refused	0	0	0
k. Data not collected	2	5	1
l. Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment	0	34	0
m. 1 source of health insurance	102	0	74
n. More than 1 source of health insurance	3	0	3

Q22. Length of Participation

Q22a2. Length of Participation—ESG

projects

Q22a2

	Total	Leavers	Stayers
a. 0 to 7 days	10	1	9

b. 8 to 14 days	12	3	9
c. 15 to 21 days	14	8	6
d. 22 to 30 days	4	4	0
e. 31 to 60 days	36	31	5
f. 61 to 90 days	26	24	2
g. 91 to 180 days	23	20	3
h. 181 to 365 days	8	8	0
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	5	0	5
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)	0	0	0
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)	0	0	0
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)	0	0	0
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)	0	0	0
n. Information missing	0	0	0
o. Total	138	99	39

**Q22c. RRH Length of
Time between Project
Entry Date and**

Residential Move-in

Date

Q22c

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. 0-7 days	1	1	0	0	0
b. 8-14 days	1	1	0	0	0
c. 15-21 days	0	0	0	0	0
d. 22 to 30 days	1	1	0	0	0
e. 31 to 60 days	1	0	1	0	0
f. 61 to 180 days	3	2	1	0	0
g. 181 to 365 days	0	0	0	0	0
h. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
i. Data Not Collected	6	1	5	0	0
j. Total	13	6	7	0	0

**Q22d. Length of
Participation by**

Household type

Q22d

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. 0 to 7 days	10	2	8	0	0
b. 8 to 14 days	12	1	11	0	0
c. 15 to 21 days	14	5	9	0	0
d. 22 to 30 days	4	0	4	0	0
e. 31 to 60 days	36	1	30	5	0
f. 61 to 90 days	26	3	23	0	0
g. 91 to 180 days	23	4	19	0	0
h. 181 to 365 days	8	4	4	0	0
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	5	0	3	2	0
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
n. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
o. Total	138	20	111	7	0

Q23. Exit Destination –

More than 90 Days Q23

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent destinations					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	1	1	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	10	3	7	0	0
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0

a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	5	2	3	0	0
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total	16	6	10	0	0

b. Temporary destinations					
b1 Emergency shelter	0	0	0	0	0
b2 Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
b3 Transitional housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
b4 Staying with family, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b5 Staying with friends, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b6 Place not meant for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
b7 Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8 Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
c. Institutional settings					
c1 Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2 Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
c3 Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
c4 Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0

c5 Jail, prison or juvenile detention	0	0	0	0	0
c6 Long term care facility or nursing	0	0	0	0	0
cz. Total	0	0	0	0	0

d. Other destinations					
d1 Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
d2 Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3 Other	0	0	0	0	0
d4 Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5 Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
dz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	16	6	10	0	0

Q23a. Exit

Destination—All

persons

Q23a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent destinations					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	24	3	21	0	0
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	15	1	14	0	0

a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total	39	4	35	0	0

b. Temporary destinations					
b1. Emergency shelter	4	0	4	0	0
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	3	0	3	0	0
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure	6	0	6	0	0
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure	2	0	2	0	0
b6. Place not meant for human habitation	4	0	4	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	3	0	3	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	22	0	22	0	0
c. Institutional settings					
c1 Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2 Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
c3 Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
c4 Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	2	0	2	0	0
c5 Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0

c6 Long term care facility or nursing	0	0	0	0	0
cz. Total	2	0	2	0	0

d. Other destinations					
d1 Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
d2 Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3 Other	0	0	0	0	0
d4 Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5 Information missing	7	0	7	0	0
dz. Total	7	0	7	0	0
e. Total	70	4	66	0	0

**Q23b. Homeless
Prevention Housing**

Assessment at Exit Q23b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--Without a subsidy	4	1	3	0	0
b. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--With the subsidy they had at project entry	2	1	1	0	0
c. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--With an on-going subsidy acquired since project entry	0	0	0	0	0
d. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--Only with financial assistance other than a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0

e. Moved to new housing unit--With on- going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
f. Moved to new housing unit--Without an on- going subsidy	1	0	1	0	0
g. Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis	3	0	3	0	0
h. Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis	0	0	0	0	0
i. Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program	0	0	0	0	0
j. Client became homeless-moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
k. Client went to jail/prison	0	0	0	0	0

l. Client died	0	0	0	0	0
m. Client doesn't know/Client refused	0	0	0	0	0
n. Data not collected (no exit interview completed)	19	2	17	0	0
o. Total	29	4	25	0	0

Q24. Exit Destination –

90 Days or Less

Q24

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent destinations					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	8	1	7	0	0

a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	4	1	3	0	0
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total	12	2	10	0	0

b. Temporary destinations					
b1 Emergency shelter	0	0	0	0	0
b2 Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
b3 Transitional housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
b4 Staying with family, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b5 Staying with friends, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b6 Place not meant for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
b7 Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8 Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
c. Institutional settings					
c1 Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2 Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
c3 Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0

c4 Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
c5 Jail, prison or juvenile detention	0	0	0	0	0
c6 Long term care facility or nursing	0	0	0	0	0
cz. Total	0	0	0	0	0

d. Other destinations					
d1 Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
d2 Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3 Other	1	1	0	0	0
d4 Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5 Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
dz. Total	1	1	0	0	0
e. Total	13	3	10	0	0

25a. Number of

Veterans

Q25a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. Unknown household type
a. Chronically homeless veteran	1	1	0	0
b. Non-chronically homeless veteran	8	5	3	0
c. Not a veteran	49	14	35	0
d. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0
e. Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0
f. Total	58	20	38	0

Q26b. Number of

Chronically Homeless

Persons by Household Q26b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Chronically homeless	2	2	0	0	0
b. Not chronically homeless	122	15	100	7	0
c. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Data Not Collected	14	3	11	0	0
e. Total	138	20	111	7	0

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	118,355
Total Number of bed-nights provided	83,307
Capacity Utilization	70.39%

Table 14 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

2013 and 2014: Completed during the program year. 2013: 41 persons in 11 households served through Emergency Shelter; 14 persons in 6 households served through Rapid Re-housing. 2014: 26 persons in 11 households served through Rapid Re-housing; 33 persons in 12 households served through Prevention.

2015: 6 persons in 4 households served through Rapid Re-housing; 18 persons in 6 households served through Prevention.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	15,841	703
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	5,855	85
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	17,641	1,414
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	0	39,337	2,202

Table 15 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	6,224	3,745	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	1,638	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	1,497	0	1,994
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	9,359	3,745	1,994

Table 16 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Essential Services	1,398	0	0
Operations	18,646	0	0
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	20,044	0	0

Table 17 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
HMIS	3,910	23,190	0
Administration	11	5,658	1,022
Street Outreach	0	0	0

Table 18 - Other Grant Expenditures**11e. Total ESG Grant Funds**

Total ESG Funds Expended	2013	2014	2015
110,472	33,324	71,930	5,218

Table 19 - Total ESG Funds Expended**11f. Match Source**

	2013	2014	2015
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	6,951	42,509	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	0	0	0
Private Funds	8,169	25,284	6,882
Other	20,572	0	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	35,692	67,793	6,882

Table 20 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities**11g. Total**

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2013	2014	2015
220,839	69,016	139,723	12,100

Table 21 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

PY2015 Mobile County CDBG Program Project Locations

PR-26 – CDBG Financial Summary Report



Office of Community Planning and Development
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report
Program Year 2015
MOBILE COUNTY , AL

DATE: 7/28/2016
TIME: 16:20
PAGE: 1

PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG RESOURCES

01 UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	2,222,486.64
02 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	1,505,102.00
03 SURPLUS URBAN RENEWAL	0.00
04 SECTION 108 GUARANTEED LOAN FUNDS	0.00
05 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	0.00
05a CURRENT YEAR SECTION 108 PROGRAM INCOME (FOR SI TYPE)	0.00
06 FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LINE-OF-CREDIT	0.00
06a FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LOCAL CDBG ACCOUNT	0.00
07 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AVAILABLE	0.00
08 TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LINES 01-07)	3,727,588.64

PART II: SUMMARY OF CDBG EXPENDITURES

09 DISBURSEMENTS OTHER THAN SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	1,004,699.15
10 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT	0.00
11 AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT (LINE 09 + LINE 10)	1,004,699.15
12 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	297,519.13
13 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS	0.00
14 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0.00
15 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM, LINES 11-14)	1,302,218.28
16 UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 08 - LINE 15)	2,425,370.36

PART III: LOWMOD BENEFIT THIS REPORTING PERIOD

17 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING IN SPECIAL AREAS	0.00
18 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD MULTI-UNIT HOUSING	0.00
19 DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOW/MOD ACTIVITIES	973,322.98
20 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT	0.00
21 TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINES 17-20)	973,322.98
22 PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 21/LINE 11)	96.88%

LOW/MOD BENEFIT FOR MULTI-YEAR CERTIFICATIONS

23 PROGRAM YEARS(PY) COVERED IN CERTIFICATION	PY: 2013 PY: 2014 PY: 2015
24 CUMULATIVE NET EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT CALCULATION	3,338,956.36
25 CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES BENEFITTING LOW/MOD PERSONS	3,307,580.19
26 PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW/MOD PERSONS (LINE 25/LINE 24)	99.06%

PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CAP CALCULATIONS

27 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES	207,338.13
28 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	135,318.87
29 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	139,657.00
30 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS	0.00
31 TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS (LINE 27 + LINE 28 - LINE 29 + LINE 30)	203,000.00
32 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	1,505,102.00
33 PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	0.00
34 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP	0.00
35 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP (SUM, LINES 32-34)	1,505,102.00
36 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PS ACTIVITIES (LINE 31/LINE 35)	13.49%

PART V: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION (PA) CAP

37 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	297,519.13
38 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
39 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
40 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS	0.00
41 TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS (LINE 37 + LINE 38 - LINE 39 +LINE 40)	297,519.13
42 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	1,505,102.00
43 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	0.00
44 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP	0.00
45 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP (SUM, LINES 42-44)	1,505,102.00
46 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PA ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45)	19.77%

RECONCILIATION OF LINE (S) OF CREDIT (LOC) AND CASH BALANCES TO
UNEXPENDED BALANCE OF CDBG FUNDS SHOWN ON GPR - FY 2015

Complete the following worksheet and submit with the attachment:

UNEXPENDED BALANCE SHOWN ON GPR \$ 2,425,370.36
(line 16 of PR26)

RECONCILIATION: (For the items listed below, use amounts
recorded as of close-of-business on the
last day of this GPR reporting period.)

ADD:

LOC balance (as of 04/02/15) \$ 2,594,557.77
Cash on hand:

Grantee Program Accounts _____

Sub-recipient's Program Accounts _____

Revolving Fund Cash Balances _____

Section 108 Cash Balances _____

SUBTRACT:

Grantee CDBG Program Liabilities
(include any reimbursements due to
the Grantee from program funds.) \$ (169,187.41) *

Sub-recipient CDBG Program Liabilities \$ - *
(same instructions as above)

TOTAL RECONCILING BALANCE: \$ 2,425,370.36

UNRECONCILED DIFFERENCE (between Total
Reconciling Balance and Unexpended Balance):

(Explain Below) \$ -

- * When grantees or sub-recipients operate their programs on a reimbursement basis, any amounts due to the grantees or sub-recipients should be included in the Program Liabilities.

CALCULATION OF BALANCE OF UNPROGRAMMED FUNDS

Amount of funds available during the reporting period. (from line 8 of PR26)	<u>\$ 3,727,588.64</u>
--	------------------------

ADD:

Income expected but not yet realized**	<u>\$ -</u>
--	-------------

SUBTOTAL	<u>\$ 3,727,588.64</u>
----------	------------------------

SUBTRACT:

Total budgeted amount.	<u>\$ (1,302,218.28)</u>
------------------------	--------------------------

UNEXPENDED BALANCE (Line 16)	<u>\$ 2,425,370.36</u>
------------------------------	------------------------

** This amount should reflect any income considered as a resource in the final statement (and any amendments) for the period covered by this report, as well as that identified in prior final statements (including any amendments), that was expected to be received as of the end of the reporting period but had not yet been received, e.g., program income or Section 108 proceeds not yet received from an approved 108 loan.

Certification Concerning Written Comments

MOBILE COUNTY COMMISSION

COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
JERRY L. CARL, PRESIDENT
MERCERIA LUDGOOD, COMMISSIONER
CONNIE HUDSON, COMMISSIONER
TELEPHONE (251) 574-5077



ADMINISTRATION
JOHN F. PAFENBACH
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR
GLENN L. HODGE
DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR
TELEPHONE (251) 574-8606
FAX (251) 574-5080

CERTIFICATION CONCERNING WRITTEN COMMENTS

August 22, 2016

2015 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

I, John F. Pafenbach, do hereby certify as Administrator of the Mobile County Commission that there were no written citizen comments submitted during the comment period August 1, 2016 through August 15, 2016 and no comments were received at the public hearing held on August 22, 2016 concerning 2015 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report.



John F. Pafenbach, Administrator
Mobile County Commission

205 Government Street • Mobile, Alabama 36644 • Post Office Box 1443 • Mobile, Alabama 36633